

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2001) KLR VOL 7 PART 127 pp. 2599 - 2804

JULY 2001

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JULY CONTD.

10. A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599
11. Ogundoyin v. Adeyemi p. 2687
12. Afegbai v. A-G Edo State p. 2709
13. United Spinners Ltd. v. Chartered Bank Ltd. p. 2745
14. Akpan v. State p. 2767
15. Hassan v. State p. 2789

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 7 KLR**

ACTIONS – Cause of action – Definition of – Reasonable cause of action – May be held by court to exist - Though the action is unlikely to succeed (H5) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

ACTIONS – Dispute – Averments in pleading as to existence of a dispute – Are to be taken as true ex facie – Towards sustaining the action (H1) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

ACTIONS – Frivolity of – Issues raised by the statement of claim – Are not frivolous or vexatious – To warrant granting of the preliminary objections (H9) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

ACTIONS – Procedural irregularity – As to commencing action by originating summons – Being merely directive – Can be waived by the Supreme Court – In the interest of justice under O. 10 r. 1(1) (H8) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - The court will not lightly interfere with such findings - Unless they are perverse and unreasonable (H 6) Afegbai v. A-G Edo State p. 2709

APPEALS - Confessions - Failure of trial court - The Court of Appeal rightly relied on the confessions - Though the trial court did not do so - Due to a misapplication of the law (H 8) Akpan v. State p. 2767

APPEALS - Dismissal - Exercise of Discretion - The considerations on which the Court of Appeal exercised its discretion - Were not judicious or judicial or proper (H 1) Ogundoyin v. Adeyemi p. 2687

APPEALS - Error of lower court - The court of Appeal was in error - To refuse to grant the application - For stay of proceedings (H 4) United Spinners Ltd. v. Chartered Bank Ltd. p. 2745

APPEALS - Interlocutory applications - Stay of proceedings - Appellate

courts should not deal with the merits of the substantive suit - In the interlocutory application - (H 3) United Spinners Ltd. v. Chartered Bank Ltd. p. 2745

APPEALS - Issues - Defences raised - Which are not contained in the issues for determination - Will not be considered (H 3) Akpan v. State p. 2767

APPEALS - Issues - Must be based on the grounds of appeal filed - And in the absence of such grounds - The issues cannot be considered - By the court (H 2) Akpan v. State p. 2767

APPEALS - Reversal - Fair hearing - Any judgment or ruling - Based on breach of constitutional provision of fair hearing - Will not be allowed to stand on appeal (H 3) Ogundoyin v. Adeyemi p. 2687

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW – Interpretation of a section – Raising any question of law or fact – Creates a dispute – That requires judicial determination (H7) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW – Separation of powers – Interpretation of s. 3(1) of the Constitution – Is a judicial function and not a legislative function – National Boundary Commission may have concurrent powers - In respect of the said section (H4) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

CONTRACTS - Fraudulent misrepresentation - Where a statement is true - In the sense in which it was meant - And it is understood in another sense which is untrue - The representor is not guilty of fraud (H 4) Afegbai v. A-G Edo State p. 2709

CONTRACTS - Rescission - Cannot be based - On a misinterpretation of the representor's statement - Which is not false (H 5) Afegbai v. A-G Edo State p. 2709

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confessional statement - Resiled from - Such statements must be considered - Along with other evidence - Before deciding whether or not - The statement was made (H 3) Hassan v. State p. 2789

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confessional statements - Conviction - An accused can be convicted - On his confessions alone - When certain conditions are met - Even if the confession is retracted (H 1) Hassan v. State p. 2789

EVIDENCE - Circumstantial Evidence - Must be positive compelling and irresistible - Leading to a conclusion that the accused is guilty - In order to ground a conviction (H 9) Akpan v. State p. 2767

EVIDENCE - Circumstantial Evidence - There is conclusive and compelling evidence - Of the guilt of the accused in this case (H 10) Akpan v. State p. 2767

EVIDENCE - Confessional statement - S. 27(1) Evidence Act - When a statement is said to be confessional (H 2) Hassan v. State p. 2789

EVIDENCE - Confessional statements - Resiled from - The trial judge rightly decided - That the accused made the statement - After meticulously considering it - Alongside other evidence (H 4) Hassan v. State p. 2789

EVIDENCE - Confessions - An incriminating admission - Short of full confession - Is covered by s.27(1) Evidence Act - And is admissible (H5) Akpan v. State p. 2767

EVIDENCE - Confessions - Once a confession is admitted - The court must determine its probative value - And act upon it - Even if it has been retracted (H 6) Akpan v. State p. 2767

EVIDENCE - Confessions - The appeal court rightly acted on the

confessions - As other evidence made its truth probable - As far as can be tested (H 7) Akpan v. State p. 2767

EVIDENCE - Corroboration - Interested persons - Relationship by blood per se - Is not sufficient to disqualify the evidence of a witness (H 1) Akpan v. State p. 2767

EVIDENCE - Extra judicial Statements - Inconsistency Rule - As enunciated in the cases - Does not apply to retracted extra-judicial confessions - But applies to extra- judicial statements which are not confessions (H 4) Akpan v. State p. 2767

FAIR HEARING - Hearing notice - Non appearance of party - The dismissal of the appellants' case - Was a breach of his right to fair hearing - As there was no proof of service of hearing notice on him (H 4) Ogundoyin v. Adeyemi p. 2687

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS - Stay of proceedings - Power to grant - The discretionary power of the court of Appeal - To stay proceedings must be exercised judicially and judiciously (H 1) United Spinners Ltd. v. Chartered Bank Ltd. p. 2745

JUDGMENTS - Consent judgment - Setting aside - As fraudulent misrepresentation is a question of fact - The courts rightly held - That it had not been proved by evidence in this case (H 3) Afegbai v. A-G Edo State p. 2709

JUDGMENTS - Consent judgment - Setting aside on grounds of fraudulent misrepresentation - How to determine - Whether fraudulent misrepresentation was established (H 2) Afegbai v. A-G Edo State p. 2709

JUDGMENTS - Consent judgment - Setting aside on the grounds of fraudulent misrepresentation - The applicable principal is the same - As would apply for rescission of contract (H 1) Afegbai v. A-G Edo State p.

2709

JURISDICTION – Supreme Court – Constitutional Law – Dispute involving at least the interpretation of s. 162(2) of the 1999 constitution – Vests the court with jurisdiction - Even where the other issues might not be justiciable (H2) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Mistake of counsel - Court will not punish a litigant - For the mistake of his counsel (H 2) Ogundoyin v. Adeyemi p. 2687

LOCUS STANDI – Federal government – Federation account – Existence of justiciable dispute with defendants – Grants it the locus to sue (H6) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

PARTIES – Misjoinder – Where the decision is likely to affect some of the defendants – They are rightly joined – As necessary parties (H3) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

STAY OF PROCEEDINGS - Exercise of discretion - The discretion of the lower court - Was wrongly exercised - As it failed to consider the very important issue - Necessary for exercising the discretion (H 2) United Spinners Ltd. v. Chartered Bank Ltd. p. 2745

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979 S.33(1) Ogundoyin v. Adeyemi p. 2687

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 S.36(1) Ogundoyin v. Adeyemi p. 2687; ss. 232(1), 212(1), 162(2), 6(1), 3(1), 1(3) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

Evidence Act - SS.27, 149 Akpan v. State p. 2767; S. 27(1) Hassan v. State p. 2789

National Boundary Commission etc. Act Cap. 238 LFN 1990 A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599

Supreme Court Rules 1985 O.5 r. 1(a), O.3 rr. 2(2) & 6(1), O.6 r. 3(1), O.10 r. 1(1) A-G Federation v. A-G Abia State p. 2599